

BCA Debrief Summary: AK

Date: 12/2/2015

Applicant: AK

Name: AK - Galena - It's All about the Kids

Applicant BCR: 1.07

NPV: \$

HUD BCR:

Reviewer Confidence:

Sensitivity Analysis:

Alternative Discount Rates:

Qualitative Section Contribution:

Narrative Section Contribution:

Rating: Benefits > Costs

General Discussion:

Panel Notes: Overall Discussion: Reviewers mentioned that we can't bring mainland assumptions (especially about costs) to Alaska projects. Also mentioned that AK showed the highest per capita need in Phase 1 MID-URN reviews.

Galena: It's All About the Kids. This project is made up of 19 components. The reviewers felt that some components were related and some were not. When considering all of the components, the reviewers came out with an uncertain (3) determination. However, when they removed 8 of the unrelated activities the balance shifted to uncertain, but probably benefits > Costs (2). The eight projects pulled out were – Land Dev Planning, Community Development Planning, Early Childhood Development, Community Garden, Tribal and Cultural Projects, Elder Assisted Living Center Expansion, GILA Biomass, and SEGA Loan Fund.

Galena has the highest per capita unmet need in the competition. Costs of nursing assistance was high, but in line with AK costs.

If competition can only fund some elements, reviewers felt that Newtok has first priority; Galena has second and Emmonak third

Final Score: Uncertain, but Probably Benefits > Costs